

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

) CASE NO.
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) Judge John R. Adams
)
)
Defendant.) REPORT OF PARTIES' PLANNING
) MEETING UNDER FED. R. CIV.
) P. 26(f) AND LR 16.3(b)(3)

1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and LR 16:3(b)(3), a meeting was held on _____ and was attended by:

_____ counsel for plaintiff(s) _____

_____ counsel for plaintiff(s) _____

_____ counsel for defendant(s) _____

_____ counsel for defendant(s) _____

2. The parties:

- have not been required to make initial disclosures.
- have exchanged the pre-discovery disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) and the Court's prior order.

Expedited Standard

Administrative Complex

Mass Tort

4. This case is suitable for one or more of the following Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") mechanisms:

Early Neutral Evaluation

Mediation

Arbitration

Summary Jury Trial

Summary Bench Trial

Case not suitable for ADR

5. The parties do/do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

6. Recommended Discovery Plan:

(a) Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and extent of discovery.

(b) Discovery cut-off date: _____

7. Recommended dispositive motion date: _____

8. Recommended cut-off date for amending the pleadings and/or adding additional parties: _____

9. Recommended date for a Status Hearing: _____

10. Other matters for the attention of the Court:

Attorney for Plaintiff(s) _____

Attorney for Defendant(s) _____

Attorney for Defendant(s) _____